

Prem Kumar Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

CWP No.3949 of 2024

22.07.2025 Present: Mr. Mohit Jaitak, Advocate (through V.C.), for the petitioner.

Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

Ms. Ranjana Sharma, Advocate, vice
Mr. Vikas Rathore, Advocate, for respondents
No.5 to 8.

Heard for some time. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only subsisting issue now is that the path which was to be constructed in terms of Annexure P-1, has not been constructed and there is a part thereof which is still un-metalled, as is evident from Annexure P-3 appended with the rejoinder. He submits that it would be in the interest of justice in case the respondents are directed to act in-consonance with the resolution that was passed by the concerned Gram Panchayat.

Learned Additional Advocate General is directed to have instructions, as to what the Authority intends to do in the light of Annexure P-3 appended with the rejoinder, to ensure that the entire road is metalled.

As prayed for, let the needful be done within three weeks. List thereafter.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

July 22, 2025
(Rishi)