

Cr.Revision No.90 of 2015.

10.04.2015.

Present: Mr.Satyen Vaidya and Mr.Vivek Sharma,
Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr.Virender Kumar Verma, Ms.Meenakshi Sharma,Mr.Rupinder Singh, Additional Advocate Generals with Ms.Parul Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent.

Cr.Revision No.90 of 2015.

Admit.

Issue notice. Mr.Virender Kumar Verma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent.

Cr.M.P.No.286 of 2015.

Notice in the aforesaid terms. This application has been preferred by the petitioner for suspension of conviction and sentence as imposed by the learned Courts below. Since, prima facie good grounds have been raised in the revision and the revision stands admitted vide separate order of the day, the hearing of the revision is likely to take some time and in the event of sentence not being suspended, there is likelihood that the petitioner would have served if not the entire at least substantial part of the sentence. Accordingly, the conviction and sentence as imposed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Court No.II, Shimla, on 29.11.2012/10.12.2012 in Criminal Case No.58-2 of 2007 as affirmed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Shimla, on 27.02.2015, in Criminal Appeal No.RBT 72-S/10 of 2014/13 are ordered to be suspended during the pendency of the

revision subject to the petitioner depositing entire fine amount within a period of eight weeks, if not already deposited, and on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of `50,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the undertaking that the petitioner shall appear before the court as and when required and shall surrender to the Court in case ultimately his revision is dismissed. The application stands disposed of.

Copy 'dasti'.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan),
Judge.**

**April 10, 2015.
(krt)**