

CWPIL No. 14 of 2017.

17.4.2018 Present: Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, as Amicus Curiae.

Mr. Ranjan Sharma and Ms. Ritta Goswami, Addl. Advocate Generals with Mr. J.K. Verma, Dy. Advocate General for respondent-State.

Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate for respondents No. 7 and 10/applicants.

Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Assistant Solicitor General of India for respondent No.8.

Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No. 9.

Mr. J.K. Verma, learned Deputy Advocate General points out from the earlier affidavit filed by Superintending Engineer 1st Circle, HPPWD, Mandi that the contractor is not only a serious defaulter, but the work at the site is going at a slow pace. This fact is not disputed by Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate, who is appearing for respondent No.9. Mr. Chaudhary has put in extra efforts. He has not only personally visited the spot, but also had talks with the Sub Contractor, who is actually executing the work at the site. The said contractor, Mathura Prasad Khushwah, who is present in the Court, assures that the work would be expedited.

Let fresh status report be filed before the next date of hearing. List on 8.5.2018.

We clarify that the work executed on the spot is without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the State in taking appropriate action against the contractor.

-:2:-

Insofar as preparation of DPR is concerned, learned Deputy Advocate General points out that the process shall positively be completed on or before 20.5.2018.

Let status report in that regard be filed before the next date of hearing, i.e., 8.5.2018.

CMP No. 3101 of 2018.

Insofar as this application is concerned, the State has no objection for release of the amount, more so in view of affidavit dated 29.3.2018 issued by the Executive Engineer, Sunder Nagar, Division. Let the amount be released to the said contractor. The application stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol)
Acting Chief Justice

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

17th April, 2018
(K/Guleria)

