

**Surinder Kumar versus State of
Himachal Pradesh and others a/w
connected matter**

**CWPOA No. 3570 of 2020
a/w CWPOA No.1599 of 2020**

10.10.2025 Present: Mr. Anshul Attri, Advocate, for the petitioner in both the petitions. .

Mr. Hemant Kumar Verma, Additional Advocate General for respondents No. 1 to 4-State.

Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Learned Counsels for the private respondent has relied upon a judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No.3095 of 2019**, titled as **Kamal Verma versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others**, decided on 11.09.2023, to assert that in terms of the mandate of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Saurav Yadav and others versus State of Uttar Pradesh and others, (2021) 4 SCC 542** and **Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited and another Versus Sandeep Choudhary and others, (2022) 11 SCC 779**, in case a reserved candidate under the Horizontal

reservation secures higher marks than the aforesaid horizontal category candidate is entitled to get seats/posts in the unreserved category, which, is the fact-situation in the instant matter.

2. Faced with this situation, Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays for three weeks time to have an update on the latest law after the judgment in case of **Saurav Yadav and Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited** (supra).

As prayed for, list the matter after three weeks.

10th October, 2025
(himani]

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge