

RSA No. 201 of 2018.

27.09.2018

Present: Mr. R.K. Bawa, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ajay Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

Heard. Admitted on the following substantial question of law:-

- 1) Whether on account of misappreciation of the pleadings and misreading of the oral as well as documentary evidence available on record the findings recorded by both Courts below are erroneous and as such the judgment and decree impugned in the main appeal being perverse and vitiated is not legally sustainable?
- 2) Whether on account of mis-appreciation and misconstruction of the provisions qua legal and valid service of the appellant-defendant with notice under Section 106 of the Transfer of Property Act, the findings to the contrary recorded by both Court below are vitiated hence not legally sustainable?

CMP No. 3975 of 2016.

List for consideration on 2nd November, 2018.

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge
September 27, 2018 (ps)