



Jaram Chand Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

Execution Petition No. 30/2025

22.05.2025 Present: Mr. Subhash Mohan Snehi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Mr. Navlesh Verma, Ms. Sharmila Patial, Additional Advocates General with Mr. J.S. Guleria and Mr. Raj Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

On 15.05.2025 this Court passed the following

order:-

“The State, as per the settled law, is expected to act like a model employer, but repeatedly this Court has come across that judgments passed years or decade back, are not being executed.

What is still worse is that no steps whatsoever have been taken to execute the judgments and it is only after the litigant is constrained to file an execution petition or contempt petition, as the case may be, the State wakes up from slumber and begins to initiate action qua implementing the judgment, which has long back attained finality.

Even in this case, the judgment sought to be executed was passed way back on 21.09.2016 and steps to execute the same have been initiated only on 13.05.2025 i.e. after the notice of execution petition has been duly served upon the respondents.

In such circumstances, the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (HoFF) is directed to explain the circumstances under which the order has not been executed for over a period of 8 ½ years; why the steps only initiated to implement judgment on 13.05.2025 and is further directed to show cause why the petitioner should not be compensated by awarding costs in his favour to be recovered from the erring officer(s). Lastly, he is directed to place on record instructions that have been issued by the government or department with regard to the prompt implementation of the judgments that have attained finality.

Let personal affidavit be filed by him within one week.

List on 22.05.2025.”



2025:HHC:40318

...2...

In compliance to the aforesaid order, Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (HoFF) has filed his personal affidavit, which indicates that earlier part of the directions, as passed by this Court, have been complied with. However, the respondents have failed to place on record instructions that have been issued by Government or Department with regard to prompt implementation of the judgments that have attained finality.

Let supplementary affidavit in this regard be filed within a period of one week.

List on **29.05.2025**.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge

22nd May, 2025
(raman)