



Jaram Chand vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

Ex. Pet. No. 30 of 2025

15.05.2025 Present: Mr. S. M. Snehi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Ms. Sharmila Patial, Mr. Sushant Kaprate, Addl. A.Gs. and Mr. Raj Negi, Dy. A.G., for the respondents-State.

The State, as per the settled law, is expected to act like a model employer, but repeatedly this Court has come across that judgments passed years or decade back, are not being executed.

What is still worse is that no steps whatsoever have been taken to execute the judgments and it is only after the litigant is constrained to file an execution petition or contempt petition, as the case may be, the State wakes up from slumber and begins to initiate action qua implementing the judgment, which has long back attained finality.

Even in this case, the judgment sought to be executed was passed way back on 21.09.2016 and steps to execute the same have been initiated only on 13.05.2025 i.e. after the notice of execution petition has been duly served upon the respondents.

In such circumstances, the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (HoFF) is directed to explain the circumstances under which the order has not been executed for over a period of 8 ½ years; why the steps only initiated to implement judgment on 13.05.2025 and is further directed to show cause why the petitioner should not be compensated by awarding costs in his favour to be recovered from the erring officer(s).

Lastly, he is directed to place on record instructions that have been issued by the government or department with



2025:HHC:40318

2

regard to the prompt implementation of the judgments that have attained finality.

Let personal affidavit be filed by him within one week.

List on 22.05.2025.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge

15th May, 2025
(sanjeev)