

RSA No. 202 of 2016

25.6.2019

Present: Mr. Romesh Verma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Amit Dhumal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

The report made by the serving agency, concurs with the submission made, at the bar, by the learned counsel for the appellant, that respondent No.13, one Shakuntla Devi is still alive, and, the dis-concurrence therewith, order made by the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) concerned, that she is no longer alive, is not accepted, by this Court. Consequently, no steps are required to be taken by the learned counsel, for the appellant, for begetting substitution, of co-respondent No. 13, by her LR's.

CMP(M) No. 826 of 2019

It is averred on affidavit, that during, the pendency of the instant appeal before this Court, the demise of co-respondent No.1, one Jagdish Chand, hence occurring on 22.12.2018. Though, some delay has occurred in the institution of the instant application, for begetting, his substitution by his LR's, however, the delay has been sufficiently explicated, thereupon the apposite delay is condoned. Since for, a, continuation of the instant appeal, the substitution of deceased co-respondent No.1, by his LR's, as detailed in paragraph-2 of the application, is imperative. Consequently, the application is allowed, and, the, legal representatives of deceased co-respondent No.1, are permitted to be substituted in his place, in the apposite

array of respondents. Necessary corrections be carried out by the Registry of this Court. Amended memo of parties, if not already filed, be filed within two weeks. Notice to the newly added LR's be issued, on steps being taken, within one week, returnable within three weeks thereafter. The application stands disposed of.

(Sureshwar Thakur)
Judge

25th June, 2019
(kck)