

**Sh. Onkar Nath Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.
CWP No. 2501 of 2025.**

25.09.2025 Present: Mr. Saurabh Ahluwalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General, for the respondents.

CMP No. 13908 of 2025

Notice. Mr. Rajat Chauhan, learned Assistant Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicants.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the fact that the Court has granted stay in favour of the petitioner on 21.02.2025, the Authority concerned is harassing the petitioner by issuing warrants of ejectment, copies whereof are appended with the application. He further submits that despite the orders of the High Court being made available for the perusal of the Authority, they insisted that they have not been informed by the High Court of the order passed by the High Court.

This Court is of the considered view that this kind of the attitude of the Officers has to be deprecated. When an order is passed in the presence of the Officers of the Office of the learned Advocate General, as was in the present case, then it is for the Office of the learned Advocate General to inform the Officers concerned about the order passed. This

duty is not even cast upon the petitioner. The petitioner is to inform the other party of the orders passed, in case, an *exparte* order has been passed against some party.

Let Assistant Collector 1st Grade, Palampur, remain present in the Court on the next date of hearing to explain his conduct as to whether he expects that High Court to personally inform him of the order passed by it.

List on **07.10.2025**.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

September 25, 2025
(Shivank Thakur)