

Chuni Lal (deceased) through LRs. vs. Bimla and others

RSA No.86 of 2022

08.04.2025 Present: Mr. Pranjal Munjal, Advocate, vice Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Atharv Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 3, 4(a), 4(b) and 7.

Ms. Vandana Kumari, Advocate, vice Mr. Sanjay Jaswal, Advocate, for respondents No.8 and 9.

CMP No.5979 of 2025

Respondent No.4(c)-Smt. Meena Kumari was respondent before the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court. From a perusal of the judgments delivered by the said Courts, it is evident that respondent No.4(c) had been proceeded against *ex-parte*, both by the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court, meaning thereby that the said individual had been served at the address mentioned in the cause title of the judgments delivered by both the Courts below.

There is no change in the address. Despite notices being issued on the said address, the same are being returned for want of correct address. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the present case, it would be expedient in the interest of justice to effect service upon respondent No.4(c), by way of affixation, on the address mentioned in the memo of parties as also on the additional address provided in Paragraph-3 of the application.

Steps be taken within three days. On taking such steps, issue notice to respondent No.4(c), returnable within four weeks.

April 08, 2025 (KS)

(Bipin C. Negi)
Judge