

**RFA No. 162 of 2010 along with C.R.
No. 124 of 2014**

RFA No. 162 of 2010

17.9.2019 Present: Mr.Dinesh Bhatia, Advocate, vice Ms.Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr.B.C. Verma, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 5 and 7.

Mr.J.R. Poswal, Advocate, for proposed respondents No. 6(a) to 6(g), 8(a) to 8(c) and 9(a) to 9(e), 9(l) and 9(m).

None for proposed respondents No. 9(f), 9(g), 9(h), 9(i), 9(j) and 9(k).

CR No. 124 of 2014

Mr.Dinesh Bhatia, Advocate, vice Ms.Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.J.R. Poswal, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 8, 10, 13 and proposed respondents No. 9(a) to 9(e), 9(l) and 9(m), 14(a) to 14(e), 14(l) and 14(m).

None for proposed respondents No. 14(f), 14(g), 14(h), 14(i), 14(j) and 14(k).

CMP(M) No. 642 of 2018 in RFA No. 162 of 2010

Mr.J.R. Poswal, Advocate, appearing for proposed respondents/legal representatives of deceased respondent No. 6-Chinthu Ram seeks one week time to file reply or to have complete instructions. On his request, adjourned for one week.

CMP(M) Nos. 643 and 645 of 2018 in RFA No. 162 of 2010

Proposed respondents/legal heirs of deceased respondent No. 8 Roshan Lal are duly served and Mr.J.R. Poswal, Advocate has put in appearance on their behalf.

No reply is intended to be filed, rather no objection has been communicated for bringing on record the legal heirs of deceased respondent No. 8 Roshan Lal. Accordingly, present applications are allowed and legal heirs of deceased respondent No. 8, mentioned in para 2 of the application (CMP (M) No. 643 of 2018) are permitted to be brought on record as respondents No. 8(a) to 8(c), after condoning the delay and setting aside abatement, if any. The applications stand disposed of.

CMP(M) Nos. 646 and 647 of 2018 in RFA No. 162 of 2010

Despite service, there is no representation on behalf of proposed respondents No. 9(f), 9(g), 9(h), 9(i), 9(j) and 9(k), hence they are proceeded against ex parte for the purpose of these applications.

Mr.J.R. Poswal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of proposed respondents No. 9(a) to 9(e), 9(l) and 9(m). No reply is intended to be filed, rather no objection has been communicated for bringing on record the legal heirs of deceased respondent No. 9 Bangali Ram. Accordingly, present applications are allowed and legal heirs of deceased respondent No. 9, mentioned in para 2 of the application (CMP(M) No. 646 of 2018) are permitted to be brought on record as respondents No. 9(a) to 9(m), after condonation of delay and setting aside abatement, if any. The applications stand disposed of.

CMP(M) Nos. 606 and 607 of 2018 in CR No. 124 of 2014

Despite service there is no representation on behalf of proposed respondents No. 14(f), 14(g), 14(h), 14(i), 14(j) and 14(k), hence they are proceeded ex parte for the purpose of these applications.

For the reasons stated in the applications, the same are allowed and legal heirs of deceased respondent No. 14, Bangali Ram, mentioned in para 2 of the application (CMP(M) No. 606 of 2018) are permitted to be brought on record as respondents No. 14(a) to 14(m), after condonation of delay and setting aside abatement, if any. The applications stand disposed of.

CMP(M) No. 649 of 2018 in CR No. 124 of 2014

Mr.J.R. Poswal, Advocate appearing for proposed respondents/legal representatives of deceased respondent No. 9-Chinthu Ram seeks one week time to file reply or to have complete instructions. On his request, adjourned for one week.

RFA No. 162 of 2010 and CR No. 124 of 2014

Amended memo of parties be filed within one week. List after one week.

**(Vivek Singh Thakur),
Judge.**

17th September 2019
(Keshav)