



2021:HHC:15621

Municipal Corporation Vs. Shiksha Nanda & others

CMPMO No. 99 of 2022

27.4.2022

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Yogita Dutta, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Ms. Yogita Dutta, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. She prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. List on 18.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Vishal Nehria **Vs.** **Oshin Sharma**

CMPMO No. 344 of 2021

27.4.2022 Present: Mr. Ashwani Sharma and Mr. Anubhav
Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Advocate with
the respondent.

List on 29.4.2022, when both the parties
shall appear in person before this Court.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Krishan Kumar Vs. **Med Ram & others**

**CMP No. 4197 of 2022 in
RSA No. 607 of 2008**

27.4.2022

Present: Mr. Prantap Sharma, Advocate vice Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. K.R. Kashyap, Advocate, for the non-applicant.

Issue notice. Mr. K.R. Kashyap, Advocate appears and waives service of notice on behalf of non-applicant. He has no objection in case the instant application is allowed. Accordingly, order dated 4.3.2021, whereby RSA No. 607 of 2008 was dismissed for non-prosecution, is ordered to be set aside. RSA No. 607 of 2008 is ordered to be restored to its original number and be listed for final hearing on 25.5.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 27, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Deepali Batta & others Vs. Ish Kapoor & another

CR No. 96 of 2021

27.4.2022 Present: Mr. C.P. Attri and Ms.Chetna Thakur,
Advocates, for the petitioners.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on

4.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

RSA No. 81 of 2021

27.4.2022 Present: Ms. Anaida Kuthiala, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for the respondent.

CMPs No. 12170 of 2021 and 5161 of 2021

Learned counsel for the appellant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder. List on 25.5.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Bansi Lal Vs. **Stat of H.P.**

CWPOA No. 3948 of 2019

27.4.2022 Present: Mr. Sourabh Ahluwalia, Advocate, vice counsel, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, SeniorAddl. A.G. with Mr.Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the respondents-State.

Learned vice counsel appearing for the petitioner prays for an adjournment. Prayer allowed.

List on 4.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Antim Mahajan Vs. State of H.P. & others

CWP No. 3242 of 2020

27.4.2022 Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, SeniorAddl. A.G. with
Mr.Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the
respondents-State.

Despite repeated calls, no one has put in
appearance on behalf of the petitioner. However, in the
interest of justice, the matter is adjourned by three
weeks. List on 18.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Raju Ram Vs. **H.P. Judicial Academy**

**CWP No. 4143 of 2021 a/w
CWPOAs No. 6185 of 2019 & CWPs
No. 4144, 4145, 4146,4147 and 4183 of
2021**

27.4.2022 Present: Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Mr. Rajesh Kumar Parmar and Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocates, for the respective respondent(s),

Pleadings in all the matters be completed within six weeks. List for hearing on 1.6.2022.

CWPOA No. 6185 of 2019 is de-linked.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Shriram Gen. Ins. Co. Ltd Vs. Narinder Singh & ors

FAOs No. 20 of 2021 and 373 of 2019

FAO No. 20 of 2021

27.4.2022 Present: Mr. Virender Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent No. 4.

FAO No. 373 of 2019

Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Virender Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 4.

As prayed for, list on 18.5.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 27, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Kuldeep Singh & ors Vs. HPSEB ltd a/w connected matters

**CWPOA Nos 1994 of 2020 with
CWPOA Nos 1973, 1979, 2073, 2266
and 2267 of 2020**

27.4.2022 Present: Mr. Surender Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Ruma Kaushik and Mr. Anil Kumar God, Advocates, for the respective respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) states that he has no instructions to file any counter affidavit(s) to the supplementary affidavit(s) filed by the respondent(s). His statement is taken on record.

List for hearing on 4.5.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Ajay Raj Pathania Vs. **State of H.P. & others**

CWPOA No. 7204 of 2019

27.4.2022 Present: Mr. K.S. Banyal, Senior Advocate with Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Senior Addl. A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for an adjournment. Prayer allowed. List on 25.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



Chet Ram Vs. State of H.P. & others
CWP No. 2903 of 2019

27.4.2022

Present: Mr. Praveen Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Senior Addl. A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G. for the respondents-State.

The instant petition has been filed for the grant of following substantial relief(s):

“i) For directing respondents to grant benefit of grant in aid in favour of the petitioner w.e.f. 5.5.2012 and other consequential benefits.”

Apart from other benefits, one of the main defence of the respondents is contained in para-3 of the reply, which reads as under:

3) That the Government framed policy notified on dated 17.7.2012 enabling SMCs to engage teachers for specified category of posts especially in the schools falling in hard and difficult areas in which no provisions were made to appoint Drawing Master under the said policy. Moreover, Govt. Sen. Sec.School Balag, Distt. Mandi does not fall under hard and difficult are as notified by the Personal Department on 19th November, 2013 which clearly shows that the appointment of the petitioner was not made in the ambit of the said policy.



2021:HHC:15621

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain instructions. List on 11.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Sanjeev Kumar

Vs.

Kishu Ram

FAO No. 483 of 2012

27.4.2022

Present: Mr. H.C. Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms. Pooja Thakur, Advocate vice Mr. R.S. Chandel, Advocate, for the respondent.

Learned vice counsel appearing for the respondent prays for an adjournment. Prayer allowed.

List on 18.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 27, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Ankush Sharma Vs. **Rattan Lal & ors**

FAO(MVA) No. 53 of 2013

23.4.2022 Present: Mr. T.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Divya Raj Singh, Advocate vice Mr. Jagdish Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No. 3.

Learned vice counsel appearing for respondent No. 3 prays for an adjournment of four weeks. Prayer allowed. List on 25.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Oriental Ins. Co. Ltd. Vs. Smt. Nagina & ors

FAO(WCA) No. 24 of 2013

23.4.2022 Present: Mr. G.C. Gupta, Senior Advocate with Ms. Meera Devi, Advocate, for the appellant.

Since the counsel for respondent No. 1 has been elevated as Hon'ble Judge of this High Court, therefore, let fresh notice be issued to respondent No. 1, informing her this fact, returnable for 15.6.2022. His name be accordingly deleted from the cause list.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

The New India Assurance Co. Vs. Neeraj and others

FAO(MVA) No. 13 of 2013

23.4.2022 Present: Mr. M.S. Katoch, Advocate vice counsel, for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

Learned vice counsel appearing for the appellant prays for an adjournment on the ground that his briefs have been misplaced. Prayer allowed. List on 1.6.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Oriental Insurance Co. Vs. Wasim Akram & ors

FAO(MVA) No. 10 of 2013

23.4.2022 Present: Ms. Jyoti Dogra, Advocate vice counsel for the appellant.

Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Learned vice counsel appearing for the appellant prays for an adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel, who has to conduct the case, is out of station. Prayer allowed. List on 1.6.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Krishna Devi **Vs.** **Ram Chander**

FAO(HMA) No. 163 of 2012

23.4.2022 Present: Ms. Tanu, Advocate vice Mr. Raju Ram Rahi,
Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Mehar Chand Sharma, Advocate, vice
counsel for the respondent.

Learned vice counsel appearing for the
appellant prays for an adjournment on the ground that
the original counsel, who has to conduct the case, is out
of station. Prayer allowed. List on 18.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

H.P. State Forest Corp. Ltd. Vs. Ashok Kumar

RSA No. 231 of 2009

23.4.2022 Present: Mr. Mehar Chand, Advocate vice counsel for the appellant.

Mr. K.D. Sood, Senior Advocate with Mr. Het Ram Thakur, Advocate, for the respondent.

As prayed for, list on 11.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Subhadra Devi & ors Vs. Hans Raj

RSA No. 213 of 2009

23.4.2022 Present: Ms. Anu Tuli, Advocate, for the appellants.
Mr. Lalit Kumar Sehgal, Advocate, for the respondent.
Learned counsel appearing for the appellants prays for and is granted an adjournment in order to ascertain the status of the parties. List on 1.6.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Yash Pal Singh

Vs.

Anil Kumar Khachi

COPCT No. 936 of 2020

23.4.2022

Present: Mr. Vivek Singh Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit to the supplementary affidavit filed by the respondents. List on 25.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

H.P. Housing & Urban Devlop & anr Vs. Saroj Rastogi

RSA No. 51 of 2009

23.4.2022 Present: Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for the respondent.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent states that he has filed an application for withdrawal of power of attorney, however, the same is not on record. Be traced and placed on record. List on 11.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Uttam Chand Vs. **Sandeep Bhatnagar**

COPCT No. 964 of 2020

23.4.2022 Present: Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate vice counsel, for
the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

As prayed for, list on 4.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Ashok Kumar

Vs.

Sandeep Bhatnagar

COPCT No. 957 of 2020

23.4.2022

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate vice counsel, for
the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

As prayed for, list on 4.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Sanyukta Thakur Vs. **State of H.P.& ors**

CWPOA No. 3031 of 2019

23.4.2022 Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya and Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. AGs with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G. and Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer, for the respondents-State.

Despite repeated calls, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. However, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned. List on 11.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Reshma Poswal Vs. Namrata Dwivedi & others

CR No. 37 of 2022

23.4.2022 Present: Ms. Kavita, Advocate vice Mr. Atharv Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 5.

Respondents No. 1 to 6 and 8 to 10 have been served, however, appearance has only been put on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5 by Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate. He prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file power of attorney.

As regards respondent No. 7, he has refused to accept the notice, therefore, he is deemed to have been served. List on 15.6.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 23, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Rajinder Kumar Vs. **State of H.P.**

CWPOA No. 1670 of 2019

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rakesh Chauhan, Advocate, for the
petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the
respondents-State.

The letter dated 26.3.2009, as was directed
vide previous order, has not been produced. Needful be
done on the next date of hearing. List on 28.4.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



Smt. Khimi Devi Vs. State of H.P. & others

CWPOA No. 168 of 2019

21.4.2022 Present: Ms. Anaida Kuthiala, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the respondents-State.

Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for respondent No. 5.

The instant petition has been filed for the grant of following relief(s):

i) That the impugned appointment of respondent No. 5 Smt. Kamla Devi as Water Carrier in Govt. Primary School, Naun by the respondents No. 1 to 3 be quashed and set aside.

ii) That the respondents No. 1 to 3 be directed to make the appointment of the applicant as Water Carrier in Govt. Primary School, Naun, after adopting proper and legal procedure as envisaged in various guidelines issued from time to time by the respondent No. 1-State.

iii) That the respondent No. 4 be directed to allow the applicant Smt. Khimi Devi to join as Water Carrier at Govt. Primary School, Shala in accordance with her appointment letter dated 13.5.2002(Annexure A-8).”

2. It is not in dispute that respondent No. 5 Smt. Kamla Devi has since retired after attaining the age of superannuation, therefore, the respondents-State could well consider the case of the petitioner for appointment.



2021:HHC:15621

3. Let instructions in this regard be obtained on or before the next date of hearing. List on 5.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

HPPWD through LAC Vs. Kewal Ram & others & connected matters

**CMP(M) No. 126 of 2019 a/w
CMP(M) Nos 1250 to 1261 of 2019,
1490, 1491 of 2019, 921 and 922 of
2020**

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G. for the applicants/appellants.

Mr. Rupinder Singh, Advocate, for respondents No. 1, 4, 5, 7, 12, 17, 18, 22, 28 to 31, 38, 43,44, 49, 50,55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 79, 83, 85, 87 and 88.

CMP(M) Nos 921 and 922 of 2020

Notices issued to proposed LRs 3(a) to 3(c) are stated to be awaited. Be awaited for another two weeks. List on 5.5.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



HPSEB Ltd. Vs. M/s Indian Tecnomac Corp

COMS No. 21 of 2019

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. T.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the plaintiff.
Mr. Sanjay Dalmia, Advocate, for defendant
No. 6.

On 3.3.2022, this Court passed the following
order:

“Even though no ground is made out for granting further time to the plaintiff-Board to take steps for the service of defendants No. 1, 2 and 4, however, taking into consideration the public interest and the plaintiff being the State within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution, I deem it proper to grant six weeks’ time to the plaintiff-Board to do the needful. Ordered accordingly. List on 21.4.2022.”

Even today, the order dated 3.3.2022 has not been complied with and two weeks’ further time is prayed for, for taking steps for the service of defendants No. 1, 2 and 4. Even though, no ground is made out for granting further time, however, taking into consideration the persuasive submission made by learned counsel for the plaintiff, I deem it proper to grant two weeks’ further time to take steps for the service of aforesaid defendants. It is made clear that in case the steps are not taken within the aforesaid period, the present suit shall be deemed to have been dismissed for want of prosecution, without further reference to the Court.



2021:HHC:15621

List on 5.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Sh. Sant Ram & ors Vs. Sh.Chuni Lal @ Chuni Ram

RSA No. 153 of 2017

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. P.S. Goverdhan, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Romesh Verma, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. J.L. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondents No. 5 to 11.

List for consideration on 28.4.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 21, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Subhash Chand Vs. **Bhaue @ Dev Raj**

RSA No. 467 of 2015

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants/applicants.

Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate, for respondent/non-applicant No. 1.

CMP No. 4051 of 2022

Notice. Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate appears and waives service of notice on behalf of non-applicant No. 1. He prays for and is granted a week's time to file reply. List on 28.4.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Pushpa Devi Mishra Vs. Sanjeev Jha

RSAs No. 17 and 59 of 2010

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for respondent No. 1(a).

**CMPs No. 3727 of 2022 and 5685 of 2016
in RSA No. 17 of 2010**

Both these applications have been filed for early hearing of the instant appeal. Taking into consideration the averments made in the application, coupled with the fact that the civil suit, out of which the present proceedings emanate, was filed in the year 2000. In such circumstances, the applications are allowed and disposed of.

RSAs No. 17 and 59 of 2010

List for final hearing on 16.6.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Karam Singh Vs. **Parkash Chand & others**

CMPMO No. 81 of 2022

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. N.K. Thakur, Senior Advocate with Mr. Divya Raj Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunny Moudgil, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

The contesting parties are present in person who are not averse to make an endeavour to settle the matter amicably.

At the joint request of the parties, Mr. Naresh Sood, learned Senior Advocate is appointed as Mediator. The parties are directed to appear before him today at 1 p.m. in the Mediation Center.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

H.P. Primary Teachers Federation Vs. K.K.Pant & ors

COPCT No. 928 of 2020

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Peeyush Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr.Addl. A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file better particulars.

List on 26.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Dhani Ram Vs. **Manoj Kumar**

**COPCT No. 589 of 2020 a/w CWPOA
No. 3880 of 2020**

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Rajat Kumar Advocate vice Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr.Addl. A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the respondents-State.

Learned vice counsel for the petitioner states that he has filed an application for impleading the present incumbents as party respondents. However, the same is not on record. Be traced and placed on record. List on 5.5.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Brij Mohan Vs. **Upendra Prasad Singh & ors**

**COPC No. 232 of 2019 with
COPC Nos 72, 73, 117, 118 of
2016, 214, 320 of 2017, 97, 267 of 2019,
19, 20, 26, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37,
38, 154 of 2020 and COPC No. 100 of
2021.**

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ajay K. Thakur, Mr. Mukul Sood, Mr. S.D. Vasudeva, Advocate, for the respective petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr.Addl. A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G., for the respondents-State.

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for respondent No. 1-UOI in COPC Nos 232/2019, 72/2016, 73/2016, 214/2017, 97/2019, 320/2017, 117/2016 and 118/2016.

Mr. Ganesh Barowalia, Advocate vice Mr. Yashwardhan Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No. 3-Government of Rajasthan.

Learned vice counsel appearing for respondent No. 3 prays for an adjournment on the ground that the original counsel is to undergo an eye operation. Prayer allowed. List on 19.5.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 21, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Kamlesh & another

Vs. Hem Chand & ors

CR No. 24 of 2018

21.4.2022

Present: Mr. Arun Raj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.

As prayed for, list on 19.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Kiran Sharma Vs. **Brinda Jewellers & anr**

Cr. Revision No. 191 of 2022

21.4.2022 Present: Ms. Leena Guleria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G. for respondent No. 2.

Cr. Revision No. 191 of 2022 & Cr. M.P. No. 984 of 2022

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No. 2. Issue notice to respondent No. 1 through process-serving agency, returnable for 28.4.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Oriental Insurance Co. Vs. Smt. Asha & ors

FAO No. 70 of 2022

21.4.2022 Present: Ms. Rajvinder Sandhu, Advocate, for the appellant.

Issue notice to the respondents through process serving agency, returnable for 16.6.2022. In the meanwhile, the records be requisitioned.

CMP No. 4328 of 2022

Since the entire amount stands deposited before learned trial Court, therefore, the operation and execution of the impugned award dated 14.1.2022, passed by the Commissioner, Employees' Compensation Act, Chamba, in petition No. 11 of 2016, is ordered to be stayed. The application stands disposed of, reserving liberty to the claimants to move an appropriate application for release of amount, which application, needless to say as and when filed, shall be considered on its own merits.

The amount lying deposited before learned Commissioner's Court be requisitioned and thereafter invested as per procedure.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

National Insurance Co. Vs. Smt. Usha Devi & ors

FAO No. 69 of 2022

21.4.2022

Present: Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Issue notice to the respondents through process serving agency, returnable for 16.6.2022. In the meanwhile, the records be requisitioned.

CMP No. 4327 of 2022

Since the entire amount stands deposited before learned trial Court, therefore, the operation and execution of the impugned award dated 19.10.2021, passed by the Commissioner, Employees' Compensation, Kullu in W.C. Act No. 8/19/2013, is ordered to be stayed. The application stands disposed of, reserving liberty to the claimants to move an appropriate application for release of amount, which application, needless to say as and when filed, shall be considered on its own merits.

The amount lying deposited before learned Commissioner's Court be requisitioned and thereafter invested as per procedure.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

Sh. Kamal Kant & anr **Vs. Jyoti Prakash**

RSA No. 59 of 2022

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. R.L. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the appellants.

RSA No. 59 of 2022 & CMP No. 2620 of 2022

Learned counsel for the appellants prays for and is granted an adjournment. List on 28.4.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022
(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621

C.K. Baljee Vs. **Susheela Joshi & anr**

CR No. 60 of 2022

21.4.2022 Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Rohit, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Notice be issued to the respondents, through process serving agency, returnable for 2.6.2022.

The caveat petition, which has been filed by the petitioner himself, has wrongly been placed in the instant file. The same is required to be placed in the petition, if any, filed by the respondents herein. Therefore, the Registry is directed to detach the caveat petition.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

April 21, 2022

(Kalpana)



2021:HHC:15621