



**Deep Chand Sharma Vs. Roshal Lal alias Daulat  
Ram & Ors. A/w connected matter**

**FAO No. 230/2010 a/w FAO No. 231/2010**

04.05.2026 Present: Mr. Harshit Sharma, Advocate, vice Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant(s), in both the appeals.

Name of respondent No. 1 stands deleted.

Mr. Amit Himalvi, Advocate, vice Ms. Kamakshi Tarlokta, Advocate, for respondent No. 2, in both the appeals.

None for respondent No. 3.

**CMP No. 9087/2026 in FAO No. 230/2010**

The present application, under Order 5, Rule 17, read with Section 151 CPC has been filed by the applicant for effecting service of respondent No. 3 by way of affixation.

The perusal of the record reveals that many attempts have been made for the service of respondent No. 3, however, he could not be served through ordinary process and it appears that he is intentionally and deliberately keeping out of the way for the purpose of avoiding service. Hence, in view of the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit of the applicant, the same is allowed and respondent No. 3 is ordered to be served by way of affixation on his last known address.

Let process fee be filed by the applicant within



....2...

a week. Thereafter, the case be listed before the Additional Registrar (Judicial) for further orders regarding service of respondent No. 3 by way of affixation.

The application stands disposed of.

**CMP No. 8454/2026 in FAO No. 231/2010**

The present application, under Order 5, Rule 17, read with Section 151 CPC has been filed by the applicant for effecting service of respondent No. 3 by way of affixation.

The perusal of the record reveals that many attempts have been made for the service of respondent No. 3, however, he could not be served through ordinary process and it appears that he is intentionally and deliberately keeping out of the way for the purpose of avoiding service. Hence, in view of the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit of the applicant, the same is allowed and respondent No. 3 is ordered to be served by way of affixation on his last known address.

Let process fee be filed by the applicant within a week. Thereafter, the case be listed before the



2011:HHC:11795

....3....

Additional Registrar (Judicial) for further orders regarding  
service of respondent No. 3 by way of affixation.

The application stands disposed of.

**( Sushil Kukreja )  
Judge**

**4<sup>th</sup> May, 2026**  
(raman)