

State of H.P. vs. Ram Saroop and others

Cr. Appeal No. 211 of 2015

17.12.2025

Present: Mr. Raj Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the appellant.

Mr. Divya Raj Singh, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 4.

None for respondents No. 5 to 9, though represented by Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Advocate.

On previous date, Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Advocate had taken time to verify the status of life of respondent No. 8, as according to information available with him, perhaps respondent No. 8 has expired.

Today, neither Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Advocate is turning up, nor any information is available about respondent No. 8.

Learned Deputy Advocate General is directed to verify the facts about respondent No. 8, through concerned Superintendent of Police.

At this stage, Mr. Suraj Kumar, Advocate, appearing under instructions of Ms. Anjali Soni Verma, Advocate, submits that he is under instructions to communicate that now respondent No. 1 is being represented by Mr. Divya Raj Singh, Advocate and no objection in this regard has been communicated by Ms. Anjali Soni Verma, Advocate. Therefore, in view of this submission, name of Ms. Anjali Soni Verma be not

reflected for respondent No. 1, rather name of Mr. Divya Raj Singh, Advocate, be reflected for respondents No. 1 to 4 in the future, in the cause list.

List for further orders on **02.01.2026**.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Ramesh Verma)
Judge

December 17th, 2025.
(Susheel)