

Neetu Devi & Ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.

CWP-1649 of 2024

20.11.2025 Present: Mr. Rajender Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

While placing on record communication dated 1911.2025, issued under the signatures of Under Secretary (Education) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, states that in case of petitioners No.2 & 3, namely Mr. Shiv Kumar and Mr. Harinder Singh, the Cabinet has not granted relaxation in prescribed age criteria.

Since perusal of communication dated 09.09.2025 issued under the signatures of Director, Higher Education, Himachal Pradesh, clearly reveals that services of Smt. Anupama Sharma, Smt. Sanjeevna Devi and Smt. Shashi Kiran were taken over by extending the benefit of age relaxation, it is not understood that why and for what reasons such relaxation cannot be granted in the case of the petitioners. Otherwise also, there is nothing to suggest that cases of the petitioners detailed herein were ever placed before Cabinet for relaxation.

In view of the above, let Secretary (Education) file affidavit, specifically stating therein that whether cases of the petitioners were placed before Cabinet for age relaxation and

whether decisions taken in past with regard to age relaxation
were brought to the notice of Cabinet or not?

List on 30.12.2025.

November 20, 2025
(sunil)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge