

Tulsi Ram (deceased) through LRs. vs. Lila Vilas and others a/w connected matter

RSA Nos.92 and 93 of 2022

31.07.2025 Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Soni, Advocate, vice Mr. George, Advocate, for all the appellants, except appellant No.1(c), in both the appeals.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Verma, Advocate, for respondents No.4 and 5 in RSA No.92 of 2022 and for respondent No.2 in RSA No.93 of 2022.

Respondents No.3 and 6 are stated to have expired.

CMP No.17519 of 2024 in RSA No.92 of 2022

By way of present application, it has been averred that appellant No.1(c)-Smt. Kanta Devi has died and her legal heirs are already on record.

No reply is intended to be filed and the non-applicants have no objection, if in case the present application is allowed and name of deceased appellant No.1(c)-Kanta Devi is ordered to be deleted.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. The name of deceased appellant No.1(c)-Smt. Kanta Devi is ordered to be deleted from the array of the parties, as her legal heirs are already on record. Amended memo of parties is taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

CMP No.17582 of 2022 in RSA No.92 of 2022

Since in terms of order dated 03.05.2023, passed in CMP No.4753 of 2023, names of respondents No.3 and 6, i.e., Om Parkash and Satya Devi have been deleted, therefore, amended

memo of parties is taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

CMP No.4805 of 2022 in RSA No.92 of 2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the pleadings.

From the revenue record, it is evident that the suit property in the case at hand is co-owned by the parties to the lis. The appellant has failed to prove the ouster insofar as the other co-owners are concerned. Hence, in the facts and attending circumstances of the case, the parties are directed to maintain status quo qua nature and possession of the suit land during the pendency of the appeal.

The application stands disposed of.

July 31, 2025 (KS)

(Bipin C. Negi)
Judge