

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA****Execution Petition No.474 of 2026****Decided on: 23rd March, 2026**

Nand Bahadur

...Petitioner

Versus

H.P. State Forest Corporation and another

...Respondents

*Coram***Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge***Whether approved for reporting?¹*

For the petitioner:

Mr. Bonit Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr.
A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the respondents:

Ms. Kiran Dhiman Advocate, vice Mr.
Durga Singh Kainthla, Advocate.**Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge** *(Oral)*

Notice. Ms. Kiran Dhiman, learned vice counsel to Mr. Durga Singh Kainthla, learned counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned vice counsel for the respondents has produced a copy of office order dated 09.10.2025. The same is taken on record.

¹ *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*



3. A perusal of the office order reveals that the petitioner has been granted work-charge status w.e.f. 01.01.2000.

4. Since the mandate contained in the judgment passed by this Court in **CWP No.8635 of 2025**, titled, ***Nand Bahadur vs. H.P. State Forest Corporation and another***, has been complied with, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the matter.

5. In view of the above, the execution petition is satisfied and disposed of as such. However, in case the petitioner has any grievance against the office order dated 09.10.2025, he shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

23rd March, 2026

(ankit)

**(Jiya Lal Bhardwaj)
Judge**