

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Civil Revision No.8 of 2025

Decided on: 20.03.2026

M/s Sanya Enterprises

....Petitioner

Versus

Roshan Lal & others

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Romesh Verma, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner:

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Tarun Brakta, Advocate.

For the respondents:

Responder No.1 is ex parte.

Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Addl. A.G., for
respondent No.3.

Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate, for
respondent No.4.

Mr. Naresh K. Thakur, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Advocate, for
respondents No.5, 6 and 8.

Dr. Rajesh Parmar, Advocate, for
respondents No.7.

Romesh Verma, Judge (Oral)

During the course of hearing learned counsel for the respondents have raised preliminary objections with respect to maintainability of the present petition in view of provisions contained in Order 43 Rule 1A of CPC.

2. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to have recourse to law in accordance with law. Permission granted.

3 Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as having been withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

4. However, it is made clear that time spent in the prosecution of the present case shall be excluded in case the present petitioner chooses to avail any remedy before competent Court of law.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

March 20, 2026
(vt)