

Nand Lal vs. Krishni Devi

*CR No. 43 of 2022 with CR No.70
of 2022*

Civil Revision No. 43 of 2022

04.04.2025 Present: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Manish Sharma, Advocate for petitioner.

Respondent No.1 is stated to have expired.

Mr. Aman Parth Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 7.

Respondent No. 8 is ex-parte.

Civil Revision No. 70 of 2022

Mr. Harish Kalta and Mr. Tejasvi Dogra, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Respondent No.1 is stated to have expired.

Mr. Aman Parth Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2, 4 to 8.

Mr. Manish Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

CR No. 43 of 2022

As prayed by Mr. Harish Kalta and Mr. Tejasvi Dogra, Advocates, they are discharged from representing the petitioner in present petition.

Respondent No.1 is stated to have expired. Appropriate steps on account of her death be taken within two weeks.

At this stage, learned arguing counsel Mr.Shrawan Dogra, Sr. Advocate, submits that it would be in the interest of parties to resolve the dispute amicably and therefore, he has suggested the parties through their counsel to arrive at consensus for compromise.

It has also been pointed out that though respondent No.1 Krishani Devi has expired, however, one of her legal representatives Suresh Kumar is already on record, who has filed the civil suit in the Trial Court and therefore, for resolution of dispute, he would be competent and proper person.

Learned counsel for contesting parties are directed to have instructions by next date in this regard.

List on *6th May, 2025*

CR No. 70 of 2022

As prayed by Mr. Manish Sharma Advocate, he is discharged from representing the petitioner in present petition.

Respondent No.1 is stated to have expired. Appropriate steps on account of her death be taken within two weeks.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it would be in the interest of parties to resolve the dispute amicably and therefore, he has suggested the parties through their counsel to arrive at consensus for compromise.

It has also been pointed out that though respondent No.1 Krishni Devi has expired, however, one of her legal representatives Suresh Kumar is already on record, who has filed the civil suit in the Trial Court and therefore, for resolution of dispute, he would be competent and proper person.

Learned counsel for contesting parties are directed to

have instructions by next date in this regard. Power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.3 be also filed by next date.

List on *6th May, 2025.*

April 04, 2025
(ms)

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge