



2025:HHC:39854

Mahima Dutt vs. State of H.P & ors.

CWP No.1402/2024.

29.2.2024

Present: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Verma, Advocate vice Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Thakur, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents/State.

Notice. Mr. Rahul Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks. List thereafter.

CMP No.1912/2024

The grievance of the petitioner is with respect to the transfer effected vide office order dated 26.2.2024 appended alongwith the present petition as Annexure P-1, wherein name of the petitioner exists at Sr. No.8. The main ground of the petitioner with respect to the transfer order is that the same has been effected on the basis of D.O. Note, details whereof have been mentioned in para-5 of the instant petition. *Prima facie* from the pleadings, it is evident that transfer in question has been occasioned at the behest of a political functionary, who in no manner is connected with the functioning of the Administrative Department of the petitioner. Interference in transfer at the behest of a political functionary has been a subject matter of numerous petitions before this Court. The said practice has been deprecated on various occasions.

In view of the aforesaid, the impugned transfer order dated 26.2.2024 (Annexure P-1) is stayed till further orders.

(Bipin C. Negi)
Judge

29th February, 2024
(CS)