

19.03.2026 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajpal Thakur, Additional Advocate General for the respondents-State.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in light of the fact that the petitioner is to superannuate in the month of September, 2026, transfer of the petitioner from Poanta Sahib to Shimla is totally uncalled and unjustified.

On the other hand, learned Additional Advocate General submits that the reason as to why the petitioner has been transferred is that there is no post of Peon at his present place of posting.

On a query put to learned Counsel for the petitioner, he informed the Court that the petitioner is serving as Peon at his present place of posting since the year 1998.

If that is so, this Court fails to understand as to why this thought has dawned upon the respondent-Department only now when the petitioner is at the verge of superannuation that there is no post of Peon at his present place of posting.

Be that as it may, if the respondent-Department has allowed the petitioner to serve at his present place of

posting since 1998, heavens are not going to fall if he is allowed to serve at said station till September, 2026.

Learned Additional Advocate General to have instructions in this regard by the next date.

List on 23.03.2026.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

March 19, 2026
(narender)