

Dinesh Kumar & Ors vs. State of H.P. & Anr.

CWP No. 1870 of 2025

31.01.2025 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Senior Advocate with Mr. Vinod Kumar Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Manoj Chauhan, Additional Advocate General, for the respondents No.1 and 2/State.

CWP No. 1870 of 2025

Mr. Manoj Chauhan, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2/State.

2. Issue notice to respondent No.3 returnable within 4 weeks, on taking steps within three days.

3. The office has raised an objection that page No. 115 is not readable. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners has submitted under instructions that he will not rely upon page No. 115 during the course of hearing. At this stage, the petitioners are directed to file the typed copy of page No.115 within a period of 7 days as and when directed to do so.

4. Reply as prayed, be filed within four weeks and rejoinder thereto, be filed within one week thereafter.

CMP No. 1974 of 2025

5. The grievance of the petitioners is that their pay is being reduced after the revision of the pay scale. They have sought an interim injunction to direct the

respondents to pay the same salary which they were being paid before issuance of the notification dated 24.11.2024.

6. *Prima facie* the pay of employee cannot be reduced to his detriment without following the due process of law. Hence, the respondents are directed to pay the petitioners the salary which was being paid to them before the issuance of the notification dated 24.12.2024, till further orders.

7. Reply/instructions, if any, be filed within four weeks.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Vacation Judge

31st January, 2025
(Nikita)