



2026:HHC:23714

RSA No. 445 of 2006

09.08.2016 Present: Ms. Leena Guleria, Advocate, for the appellants.
Ms. Atul Jhingan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Registry received a letter from learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-II, Mandi, praying therein for grant of a month's time for compliance of order dated 02.05.2016 passed by this Court, whereby, matter was remanded back with the directions to learned lower Appellate Court to give findings on particular issue.

Keeping in view the averments contained in application, this Court deems it fit to grant one month's time for compliance of the aforesaid order, as prayed for. Accordingly, one month time is granted to do the needful in terms of order dated 02.05.2016.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016

Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

Cr.MPM No. 881 of 2016

09.08.2016 Present: Ms. Shashi Kiran, Advocate, for the petitioner

Issue notice to the respondent, returnable for 23.8.2016, on taking steps during the course of the day. Dasti notice be also issue to the respondent for the date fixed. Registry is directed to handover the dasti summons to the learned counsel for the petitioner by 10.8.2016.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

CWP No. 3637 of 2009

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Neel Kamal Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

CMP No. 6537 of 2016

Learned counsel representing the applicant/petitioner stated that he does not want to press this application at this stage. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, present application is disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

Cr.R. No. 225 of 2016

09.08.2016 Present: Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Issue notice, returnable for 5.9.2016, on taking steps within a week.

Cr.MP No. 814 of 2016

This is an application for suspension of the sentence and releasing the petitioner on bail. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was on bail during the trial and his sentence was suspended by the learned lower Appellate Court during the pendency of the appeal. In these circumstances, the substantive sentence imposed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Court No. 3, Shimla, H.P. in Criminal Case No. 621-3 of 2012 and affirmed by the learned Sessions Judge, Shimla, H.P. in Criminal Appeal No. 8-S/10 of 2014, is suspended during the pendency of the revision subject to the petitioner furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court within a period of four weeks with the conditions that the petitioner shall appear in the Court as and when directed and shall surrender to serve out the sentence imposed in case his revision is ultimately dismissed. The trial Court shall ensure compliance of the directions issued by this Court on the administrative side vide communication No.



2026:HHC:23714

HHC.VIG/Misc.Instructions/93-IV-7139, dated 18.3.2013. The application stands disposed of.

Copy Dasti.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

RSA No. 487 of 2005

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Dheeraj Vashisht, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Bhupinder Gupta, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

RSA No. 466 of 2006

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Harsh Khanna, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Jeevesh Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

In terms of order dated 24th June, 2016, Assistant Collector, IInd Grade-cum-Tehsildar, Theog, District Shimla, carried out demarcation on 22.07.2016, perusal whereof suggests that parties have compromised the dispute. Record furnished by Assistant Collector, IInd Grade-cum-Tehsildar, Theog, District Shimla, is taken on record.

Accordingly, on joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list this matter on **29.8.2016**, on which date parties shall remain present in the Court for making a statement.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

RSA No. 311 of 2009

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Rupinder Singh, Additional Advocate General, for the appellants.

Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Shri Rupinder Singh, learned Additional Advocate General stated at the Bar that since the matter has come up for final hearing after considerable time i.e. 23.09.2014, it would be appropriate in case he is granted some time to complete his brief and ascertain the status of the parties. Accordingly, in view of the aforesaid request, two weeks' time is granted to do the needful. List this matter after two weeks.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

CWP No. 2372 of 2009

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. B. C. Verma, Advocate , for the petitioner.
Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No. 1 and 2.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel for respondent No. 3.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner stated that during the pendency of present petition, respondent No. 4 has expired, as such, he may be given sometime to take steps for bringing on record the LRs of deceased respondent No. 4. Mr. Verma also prayed that he may be given sometime to file rejoinder to reply filed by respondent No. 3.

Accordingly, in view of the aforesaid request having been made by Mr. Verma, three weeks' time is granted for taking steps for bringing on record the LRs of deceased respondent No. 4 and in the meantime petitioner may also file rejoinder.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

CR.R No. 25 of 2010

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Lakshay Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Additional Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

Mr. Lakshay Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioner stated at the Bar that earlier matter was being conducted by Mr. M.S. Guleria, Advocate, who has left for heavenly abode, as such, he may be given some time to file power of attorney on behalf of petitioner. Accordingly, in view of the request having been made, two weeks' time is granted. List after two weeks.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

Mr. Lakshay Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioner stated at the Bar that earlier matter was being conducted by Mr. M.S. Guleria, Advocate, who has left for heavenly abode. Fresh power of attorney on behalf of petitioner be filed within two weeks. List thereafter.



2026:HHC:23714

CWP No. 5026 of 2010

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Sushan Vir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Sood, Advocate, for the respondents.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on **12.8.2016**.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

RSA No. 501 of 2007

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. G. R. Palsra, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. S. D. Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on **12.8.2016**.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

RSA No. 436 of 2006

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Anand Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Additional Advocate, General, for respondents No. 1 and 2.
Mr. Vikrant Gupta, Advocate, vice counsel for respondent No. 3.

Learned vice counsel for respondent No. 3 stated at the Bar that original Counsel Shri K.D. Shreedhar is out of station on account of marriage in his relation, as such, prayed that this matter be taken up after two weeks. Accordingly, on the request having been made by Mr. Vikrant Gupta, list this matter after two weeks in weekly list.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

Cr.R.No. 115 of 2010

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Additional
Advocate, General, for the respondent.

Mr. Mukul Sood, counsel representing the petitioner, stated at the Bar that parties have compromised the matter, as such, he may be given some time to place on record the compromise. Accordingly, in view of the aforesaid request, list this matter after three weeks in weekly list.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714

Cr. R.No. 122 of 2008

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Additional
Advocate, General, for the respondent-State.

Shri Vinod Gupta, counsel representing the petitioner stated at the Bar that since the matter has come up for final hearing after a considerable time i.e. 10.11.2008, it would be appropriate in case he is granted some time to ascertain the status of the parties. Accordingly, in view of the aforesaid request three weeks' time is granted to do the needful. List this matter after three weeks in weekly list.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev



2026:HHC:23714



2026:HHC:23714

RSA No. 368 of 2007

09.08.2016 Present: Mr. C. N. Singh, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. G.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner stated at the Bar that despite sending communication to the appellant no response has yet been received, as such, he may be given further two weeks' time to do the needful in terms of the order dated 4th July, 2016, list after three weeks in weekly list.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

August 9, 2016
Sanjeev