

**RFA-92 of 2015**

27.02.2026 Present: Ms. Niyati Thakur, Dy. AG for appellant-State.  
Ms. Bhavya Sharma and Ms. Shashi Kiran,  
Advocates for respondents No. 3, 4, 6(b) and  
6(c).

Notices issued to respondents No. 3, 4, 6(b),  
6(c) and 16(a) for today have been received back after  
validly served. Ms. Bhavya Sharma (HIM/208/2022) and  
Ms. Shashi Kiran (HIM/274/2012), Advocates have put in  
appearance on behalf of respondents No. 3, 4, 6(b) and  
6(c) and filed MOA for today. Ld. Counsel prays for and is  
granted three weeks' time for filing POA on behalf of  
respondents No. 3, 4, 6(b) and 6(c).

None is present on behalf of respondent No.  
16(a) despite service. Therefore, said respondent is  
proceeded against *ex parte*.

Notices issued to respondents No. 11 and 12  
though have been received back after service, however, it  
has not been reported that whether notice served upon the  
person is residing jointly with the addressee or not.  
Therefore, their service is not proper. Let fresh steps for  
service of respondents No. 11 and 12 be taken within a  
period of two weeks.

Respondents No. 16(b) to 16(d) have been received back unserved with the report that the addressee(s) have got married and are residing in their in-laws house. Let fresh steps for their service be taken within a period of two weeks. List thereafter.

**Addl. Registrar (Judicial)**

**27.02.2026** (*Vriti*)