

COPC No.11 of 2019

06.07.2021 Present: Ms. Komal Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumesh Raj, Mr. Dinesh Thakur, Mr. Sanjeev Sood, Additional Advocates General, with Ms. Divya Sood, and Mr. Kamal Kant Chandel, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Y.W. Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.
(through video conferencing).

When this case was taken up for consideration, learned counsel for the petitioner, stated that rejoinder to the reply stands filed. As the same is not on record, the Registry is directed to place the same on record, if in order.

At this stage, Mr. Y.W. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondent No.2, on instruction, submits that the case of the petitioner is under active consideration of respondent No.2 for the purpose of allotment of 'Muraba', however, in case the petitioner is insisting upon allotment of the 'Muraba' of his choice, then he will have to wait for the outcome of the litigation referred to in the reply filed by respondent No.2, which is still pending.

Be that as it may, as prayed for, list on **19.07.2021**. In the meanwhile, as ordered, rejoinder to be traced and placed on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner to have instructions, as to whether the petitioner intends to wait for the outcome of the litigation qua 'Muraba', which the petitioner intends to specifically have or whether the petitioner is willing to have some other 'Muraba', in terms of the statement made in the Court by learned counsel for respondent No.2.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

July 06, 2021
(Rishi)