

09.04.2021 Present: Mr. Shourya Sharma, Advocate, vice Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the plaintiffs.  
None for defendants No.2 and 3.  
Defendants No.5 and 6 are stated to have expired.  
Mr. Prince Chauhan, Advocate, vice Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

Mr. Janesh Gupta, learned counsel, who was representing defendants No.5 and 6 submits that on previous date(s) presence of Mr. Ajeet Jaswal has been wrongly reflected as a counsel for defendants No.2 and 3, whereas, defendants No.2 and 3 were being represented by Ms. Charu Gupta, Advocate, who has now been appointed as a Government Advocate and is not in private practice, and on this count, notices were directed to be issued to defendants No.2 and 3 vide order dated 17.04.2017 and thereafter vide order dated 04.03.2020, passed in OMP No.64 of 2020, these defendants were ordered to be served by substitute mode of service.

Mr. Janesh Gupta, learned counsel, further submits that as per information received him, defendants No.5 and 6 have expired and he intends to file an appropriate application for placing on record death certificates of these defendants as required under Order 22 Rule 10-A CPC.

Adjourned for 30.04.2021, enabling Mr. Janesh Gupta, learned counsel, to take appropriate steps.

In the meanwhile, steps for service of defendants No.2 and 3 also be taken in terms of order dated 04.03.2020, passed in OMP No.64 of 2020

(Vivek Singh Thakur)  
Judge

April 9, 2021  
(Purohit)