

**Amit Sood vs. Krishan Kumar & anr.**

**RSA No. 258 of 2013**

04.05.2026. Present: Mr. Gautam Sood, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms. Suman Bhimta, Advocate, vice Mr. Dushyant Dadwal, Advocate, for respondents No.1(a) to 1(b) and respondent No.2.

**CMP No. 9466 of 2026**

The present application has been filed seeking substituted service upon the proposed legal representatives, namely LRs 1(c) to 1(f) of deceased respondent No.1. It has been submitted that the applicants/appellants do not have any other addresses of the said legal representatives apart from those mentioned in the application. The aforesaid LRs could not be served at their available addresses, hence, the present application.

Heard.

The record reveals that notices issued to LRs 1(c), 1(d), 1(e), and 1(f) were received back with reports that they had married and were residing at Panchkula, New Delhi, Paragpur, District Kangra (H.P.), and Noida, respectively. Therefore, it is apparent that these legal representatives could not be served at their available addresses through ordinary process.

In these circumstances, the present application is allowed and LRs 1(c), 1(d), 1(e), and 1(f) of deceased

respondent No.1 be served by way of publication, in a widely circulated newspapers in the areas, namely “Amar Ujala,” on taking necessary steps, within a period of four weeks.

The application stands disposed of.

**RSA No.258 of 2013**

List after four weeks.

**(Rakesh Kainthla)**  
**Judge**

04.05.2026  
(Ravinder)

