

**Babita and another versus Himachal Road
Transport Corporation and others**

CWPOA No.568 of 2020

03.12.2025 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar and Ms. Rashmi Parmar, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Jamalta, Advocate, for the respondent-Corporation.

CMP-T No.292 of 2023

Petitioners have filed the instant application seeking deletion of names of private respondents No. 3, 6, 7, 8 and 11 from array of respondents in the instant case, for the reason that the aforesaid respondents have retired from service and the petitioners do not wish to proceed against them. Application is duly supported by an affidavit.

2. Upon listing of the application, there is no opposition made by the counsel for the respondents. In these circumstances, the application is allowed and the private respondents No.3, 6, 7, 8 and 11 are deleted from the array of respondents.

The application stands disposed of.

CWPOA No.1568 of 2020

3. Amended memo of parties be placed at appropriate place in the paper book by the office.

4. Grievance of the petitioners is that the Respondent-Corporation resorted to promotion to the post of Senior Assistant on the recommendation of DPC on 05.01.2015 [*Annexure A-7*] and despite eligibility [petitioner No.1 being 10+2 and petitioner No.2 being M.A.] coupled with the fact that the petitioner was senior, the petitioner was not considered for promotion to the post of Senior Assistant.

5. The material on record does not indicate as to when the petitioner No.1 passed the 10+2 and likewise, petitioner No.2 passed the M.A. as claimed in the petition and even the factum as to whether the juniors have been promoted as Senior Assistant by the DPC in the orders dated 05.01.2015 [*Annexure A-7*] is also not borne out from the petition.

6. Faced with this eventuality, Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays for adjournment.

Let necessary material be placed on
record and matter be listed on **04.12.2025.**

3rd December, 2025.

[himani]

**(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge**