

Ishwar Bharti vs. Devender Kumar another

Cr.MP(M) No. 102 of 2026

20.1.2026 Present: Mr. Bonit Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that she has no objection in case the present application is allowed. In view of this statement, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the revision is ordered to be condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Cr. Revision (ST) No. 588 of 2026

Since the delay in filing the revision has been ordered to be condoned vide separate order passed in the application Cr.MP(M) No. 102 of 2026, revision be registered.

Notice. Ms. Babita Chauhan, learned counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent.

Copy of the petition has been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondent.

It has been submitted on behalf of the respondent/complainant that entire cheque amount has been received by the complainant. She has stated under

instructions that she does not want to proceed further with the present matter.

In view this statement, petitioner is directed to deposit 7.5% of the cheque amount before Member, Secretary, H.P. Legal Services Authority, Kasumpti, Shimla, as per the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sanjabij Tari vs. Kishore S. Brocar and another (2025) SCC Online SC 2069* and list the matter thereafter.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Vacation Judge

20th January, 2026
(Nikita)