



Khushi Ram Nautiyal Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & another.

Item No. (D-2 & 3)

CMP Nos.26959 & 26960 of 2025 in CWP Nos. 492 & 493 of 2016.

28.11.2025

Present: None for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Pranay Pratap Singh, Additional Advocate General, for applicants/respondents-State.

CMP Nos.26959 & 26960 of 2025

The present applications have been filed under Section 151 of CPC for vacation of stay, in view of the order passed on 08.03.2016 that the deposit of the amount shall be subject to the final outcome of the writ petition.

2. In the present applications, we do not find any plausible reason as such for modifying the said order as the petitioner was rather under obligation to deposit the amount.

3. It is thus apparent from the aforesaid order that the applicants/respondents-State were always at liberty to recover the amount.

4. Resultantly, we do not find any plausible reason to entertain the present applications.

5. The applications stand disposed off accordingly.

CWP No.492 of 2016

6. List as per its turn.

(G.S. Sandhawalia)
Chief Justice

(Jiya Lal Bhardwaj)
Judge

28th November, 2025
(Munish Thakur)