



2022:HHC:779

**VISHAL
Versus
STATE OF HP AND OTHERS**

CWP-685 of 2022

14.08.2025

Present: Mr. Vikrant Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocates General, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for State.

Ms. Tim Saran, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Ajay K. Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Suraj Kumar, Advocate, vice Mr. Tarun K. Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

While placing on record instructions dated 08.08.2025, issued under the signatures of Director, Urban Development, Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that no reply is intended to be filed on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and instructions dated 10.02.2022, already placed on record, may be read as reply of the afore respondents. Ordered accordingly.

Replies on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5 though stated to have been filed, but the same are not on record. Registry to trace and place the same on record, if in order. Since copy of afore replies already stands received by learned counsel representing the petitioner, he prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder.

August 14, 2025
(Rajeev Raturi)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**