

CWP 511 of 2004.

28.3.2008 Present: Mr. Tarlok Chauhan, counsel
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruma Kaushik, Addl. AG,
for respondents 1 and 2.

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, counsel
for respondent No. 3.

The Director, Town & Country Planning is present in Court today pursuant to orders passed on 26.3.2008. He has again reiterated that the Department of Town & Country Planning has nothing to do with the sanction / rejection of the building plans submitted by the petitioners. Learned counsel appearing for the Municipal Corporation submits that all the papers were sent to the Town & Country Planning Department way back in the year 2004. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at length. I feel that it will be in the interest of justice if counsel representing the parties and the learned Additional Advocate General ascertain the factual position for which purpose, the Director, Town & Country Planning as also the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Shimla shall be present in a meeting to be held in the office the Additional Advocate General on a date to be notified by her. All the parties will be given due notice of the meeting. It is expected that looking to the thicket of facts which are admitted by all the parties, but which

-2-

are leading nowhere, some solution will be arrived at. List after eight weeks.

Copy dasti, in order to enable the parties to organize the meeting as aforesaid.

March 28, 2008 (PC). (Dev Darshan Sud), J.