

Krishan Chand Vs. BBMB & Ors.

CWP No. 1040 of 2013-D

06.03.2026 Present: Mr. G.R. Palsra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Aarju Devi, Advocate, vice Mr. Aman Sood, Advocate, for the respondents.

In terms of the Reply-Affidavit, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner states that majority of the claims, as prayed for, have been settled. He submits that the first surviving grievance is regarding non-release of increment which was due to the petitioner on 01.03.2012 and second grievance relates to non-payment of GIS, which, as per para No. 3(v) of the Reply-Affidavit, was to be made by Life Insurance Corporation, Chandigarh, to which the Respondent-Board, had forwarded the necessary papers for further compliance.

2. Faced with this situation, Learned Counsel for the Respondent-Board is directed to file a Supplementary Affidavit, as to whether the aforesaid surviving claims, with respect to the increment due on 01.03.2012 and the release of GIS amount have been released; and in case, the

same has not been released, the reason therefore
be disclosed in affidavit.

3. Let necessary Supplementary Affidavit
be filed by Respondent-Board, within four weeks.

List on **17.04.2026.**

06th March, 2026
(Shamsh Tabrez)

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge