

**Court on its own motion**

**Vs. State of HP & ors**

**CWPIL No. 2 of 2022**

2.5.2022

Present: Mr. B.C. Negi, Senior Advocate as Amicus Curiae with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate.

Petitioner No. 2, Rishab Tripathi through video conferencing.

Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Ms. Ritta Goswami, Additional Advocate Generals, Mr. Yudhvir Singh Thakur, Dy. A.G. and Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Atharv Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 4.

It is pointed out by learned Amicus Curiae that the year 2018 was declared the year of Adventure. The Adventure Operators Association of India alongwith concerned Ministry came out with the Indian Adventure Tourism comprehensive guidelines running in 180 pages, which were notified in May, 2018. These guidelines cover 15 land based activities, 7 air based activities and 7 water based activities.

2. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not in a position to state whether these guidelines were, in fact, taken into consideration or not by the concerned department while notifying the SOPs. For that matter, even the Rules were notified.

3. It is further pointed out by learned Deputy Advocate General that certain suggestions are being received from petitioner No. 2 and

stakeholders, while response(s) from few of the Districts is/are still awaited.

4. At the request of learned Deputy Advocate General, I deem it proper to adjourn the hearing of this case by two weeks. List on 17.5.2022.

5. The respondent-State is directed to look into the adventure guidelines of 2018 and file status report in this regard on or before the next date of hearing with advance copy to learned Amicus Curiae as also to the petitioner No. 2.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)**  
**Judge**

**(Chander Bhusan Barowalia)**  
**Judge**

**May 2, 2022**  
(Kalpana)