

**Court on its own motion vs. State of H.P. & Ors.
CWPIIL No. 2 of 2022**

16.03.2023 Present: Mr. B.C. Negi, Senior Advocate, as Amicus Curiae with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Petitioner No.2 through Video Conferencing.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, with, Mr. Y.W. Chauhan, Senior Additional Advocate General, Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Mr. J.S. Guleria and Ms. Priyanka Chauhan, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Ajay Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. K.D. Shreedhar, Senior Advocate, with Ms. Sneh Bhimta, Advocate, for applicant/respondent No.37.

Learned counsel for the applicant in CMP No. 616 of 2023 submits that as regards directions No.(i) and (ii), the same have been complied with, however, direction No.(iii) is yet to be complied with.

Learned Advocate General, on instructions, submits that the documents have been provided by the applicant only yesterday and the same have been processed and the payment, if any, shall be made within two weeks. His statement is taken on record.

Even though, the 7th respondent has filed his response to the suggestions, as incorporated in order dated 18.11.2022, however, the said affidavit is silent inasmuch as it was not dealing with the para-wise issues raised by this Court. We also find that certain suggestions have been given by petitioner No.2 at Page 3003 to 3033. Let 6th and 7th respondents shall respond to the suggestions by giving para-wise comments within two weeks.

Let the copies of the submissions/suggestions given by petitioner No.2 be supplied by the Registry to the petitioner, respondent No.4 as also to the office of learned Advocate General within a period of two weeks.

List on **01.04.2023** at 2:00 P.M.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Virender Singh)
Judge

March 16, 2023
(*Vinod*)