



Poonam Gehlot vs. State of H.P. & others
CWP No. 153 of 2018

23.11.2023

Present: Petitioner in person.
Mr.Rajesh Mandhotra, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 4, 7 and 10-State.
Mr.Adarsh K. Vashista, Advocate, for respondent No.5.
Mr.Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.6.
Mr.Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.8.
Mr.Ajay Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

Mr.Ajay Chandel, learned counsel, representing respondent No.9, submits that President of respondent No.9-Samiti has informed that as on date, respondent No.9-Samiti is not functional. Affidavit, in this regard, on behalf of respondent No.9-Samiti, through its President/Secretary, be filed within three weeks, indicating the status of respondent No.9-Samiti specifically therein.

Affidavits on behalf of respondents No.3 to 6 and 8 stand filed.

Affidavit on behalf of respondent No.7, stated to have been filed, is not on record. Affidavit on behalf of the said respondent, if not already filed, is permitted to be filed during course of the day.

Petitioner intends to go through the affidavits and verify the facts. Therefore, matter is adjourned.

List on 26.12.2023.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

November 23, 2023
(Purohit)