

RSA No. 101 of 2008.

31.05.2017 Present: Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate vice counsel for the appellants.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 5.

CMP (M) No. 2104 & 2105 of 2016.

Proposed legal representatives 6(a) and 6(b) are duly served, however, there is no appearance on their behalf. Service of proposed legal representative 6(c) is reported to be awaited. Proposed legal representatives 6(d), 6(e) and 6(g) could not be served for want of correct addresses whereas proposed legal representatives 6(f) is reported to have expired. On filing of correct addresses of proposed legal representatives 6(d), 6(e) and 6(g) and also process fee afresh within two weeks, issue notice to proposed legal representatives 6(c) to 6(e) and 6(g) for *9th August, 2017*. Consequential steps on the death of proposed legal representatives 6(f) be also taken in the meanwhile.

CMP (M) Nos. 2106 & 2107 of 2016.

Reply has not been filed despite opportunity granted. Learned counsel representing the appearing respondents has rather stated at bar that there is no objection in case the same are allowed and the applicants in CMP(M) No.2106 of 2016, are ordered to be brought on record as appellants in the main appeal. Therefore, I allow both the applications and order to substitute the applicants herein namely Smt. Satya Devi and Raj Kumar as appellants 1(a) and 1(b) in the main appeal.

Necessary corrections be made in the cause title of the appeal accordingly. Both the applications stand disposed of accordingly.

May 31, 2017 (ps)

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge