

12.03.2026 Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Balram Sharma, DSGI with Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Desh Raj Thakur, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Learned Deputy Solicitor General of India submits that in response to the averments made in the writ petition, a short reply/affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1 and while preparing the case, he found that there were certain facts which need to be brought before the Court and accordingly, he submits that said respondent be allowed to file an additional reply.

Petitioner appearing in person submits that at this stage this request of respondent No. 1 should not be acceded to because nothing prevented respondent No. 1 from filing a detailed reply earlier.

Be that as it may, this Court is of the considered view that in case respondent No. 1 intends to file an additional reply, let it be done and as a matter of indulgence, one opportunity is granted in this regard. Therefore, as prayed for by learned Deputy Solicitor General of India, two weeks time is granted to do the needful. In case, no additional reply is filed within two weeks, then no further indulgence shall be granted in this regard. If

additional reply is filed within two weeks, then rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List for consideration on 20.04.2026.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

March 12, 2026
(narendar)