

Reliance General Insurance Company Limited vs. Santosh Kumar and another a/w connected matters.

FAO No.41 of 2020 a/w FAO Nos. 405, 406, 407, 451, 471 of 2018, FAO Nos. 47, 239 of 2019 and FAO No. 258 of 2021.

31.03.2023 Present: Mr. Munish Kumar, Advocate vice Mr. Jagdish Thakur, Advocate, for the appellant(s) in all the appeals, except FAO No. 47 of 2019.

Ms. Dhanvanti, Advocate, for the appellant, in FAO No. 47 of 2019.

Mr. Romesh Verma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Hitesh Thakur, Advocate, for the respective respondents in FAO No. 41/2020, 405, 406, 407, 2(i), 2(ii) and 2(vii) in FAO No. 451, 4(c) and 4(d) in FAO No. 471 of 2018 and FAO No. 258 of 2021.

Mr. Bhupinder Pathania, Advocate, for respondent No.3 in FAO No. 47 of 2019.

Mr. Tejasvi Verma, Advocate, for the respective respondents in FAO No. 239 of 2019.

Explanation offered by the Sheristedar as also the Process Server perused. Both the concerned officials are directed to be careful in future and the matter in this regard shall be treated as closed.

FAO No. 451 of 2018.

Notices issued for the service of LRs 2(iii) and 2(iv) have been received duly served, however, there is no appearance on their behalf. As regards LR 2(v), notices issued for the service are stated to be awaited. However, being actual date notices, the same cannot be awaited any further. Therefore, let fresh notices be issued for the service of LR 2(v), returnable for **12.05.2023**, on taking steps within two days.

FAO No. 471 of 2018.

Notices issued for the service of LRs 4(a), 4(b) and 4(e) have been received duly served. However, none has put in appearance on their behalf.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

31st March, 2023.
(krt)