

**Narayan Singh @ Roop Lal vs. Hukam Chand
and others a/w connected matter**

**RSA No. 6 of 2025
and RSA No. 288 of 2024
RSA No. 6 of 2025**

26.05.2025 Present:

Mr. Surender Saklani, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms. Seema Azad, Advocate, for respondents No. 1, 2, 3(i) to 3(v), 4 to 7.

Mr. Bhupender Gupta, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Pranjal Munjal, Advocate, for respondents No. 8(i) to 8(iv).

RSA No. 288 of 2024

Mr. Bhupender Gupta, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Pranjal Munjal, Advocate, for the appellants.

Ms. Seema Azad, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 7.

Mr. Surender Saklani, Advocate, for respondent No.8.

RSA No. 6 of 2025

The interest of the present appellant is the same as the appellant in RSA No. 288 of 2024. The appellants therein are respondent No.8(i) to (iv) in the present appeal. RSA No. 288 of 2024 stands admitted vide order dated 10.12.2024 on substantial questions framed therein. The same arise for consideration in the present appeal also. Hence, admit on the same substantial questions of law framed in RSA No. 288 of 2024 vide orders dated 10.12.2024 therein.

CMP No. 24049 of 2024 in RSA No. 288 of 2024

Heard counsel for the parties. Perused the pleadings.

Interim order dated 7.1.2025 is made absolute during the pendency of the present proceedings subject to the right of the parties to move an appropriate application for alteration/modification/vacation on motion. The application stands disposed of.

(Bipin C. Negi)
Judge

May 26, 2025
tarun