

RFA No. 183 of 2007

31.10.2018 Present: Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate General, with Mr. R.P. Singh and Mr. Raju Ram Rahi, Deputy Advocate Generals, for the appellants.

Ms. Lalita Verma, Advocate, vice Mr. Sumeet Raj Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2(b) and 2(d).

Mr. P.S. Goverdhan, Advocate, for respondents No. 2(c) and 4(d).

Mr. Rupinder Singh, Advocate, for respondents No. 3, 5(a) to 5(e) and respondent No. 10 Mani Ram.

Respondent No. 8 (a), Om Parkash present in person.

Name of respondent No. 9 Fullo stands deleted vide order dated 31.10.2007.

As per report of Registry, respondents No. 1(a), 1(b), 4(a) and 7 are stated to have expired. Consequential steps be taken within three weeks.

Respondent No. 4(b) duly served in May, 2005, but there is no representation on his behalf, hence proceeded ex parte.

Respondents No. 2(a), 4(c)(i), 4(c)(ii)a, 4(c)(iii), as per report of Registry, are also duly served for today i.e. 31st October, 2018, but there is no representation on their behalf, hence proceeded ex parte.

Notice issued to respondent No. 6 Ganga Ram has been received unserved with the report that he is

missing since 40-50 years. Appropriate steps in this regard be taken within three weeks.

As per report of Registry, notice issued to respondents No. 11(a) Rajesh, 11(b) Hari Nand, 11(c) Sukh Dev and 11(d) Kalawati have been received back with the report that no such persons are residing on the address given. Fresh steps by furnishing their correct addresses be taken within three weeks.

Amended memo filed on behalf of appellants is not depicting the correct figures of respondents, as name of respondent No. 4(c)(ii) Vinay is missing. Similarly name of respondent No. 9 Fullo is also missing and respondent No. 10 Mani Ram has been reflected as respondent No. 9, respondent No. 8(a) has been shown as respondent No. 8 only, whereas he is legal representative of original respondent No. 8 Kalawati and is required to be shown as respondent No. 8(a). Similarly, respondent No. 4(c)(ii)(a) Champa has been reflected as respondent No. 4(c)(ii) whereas she is legal heir of 4(c)(ii) Vinay and is to be reflected as 4(c)(ii)(a) and respondents No. 11(a) to (d) have also been shown as respondents No. 10(a) to 10(d). Therefore, appellant is directed to file

fresh correct amended memo of parties within three weeks. List on **30th November, 2018**.

***(Vivek Singh Thakur),
Judge.***

31st October, 2018
(KRS)

