



W.P(MD)No.3011 of 2026

W.P(MD)No.3011 of 2026

WEB COPY

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

Further arguments heard.

2.The Party-in-person submits that the writ petition in W.P(MD)No.31834 of 2025 is not at all maintainable, since the earlier writ petition is also filed challenging the very same order and that is completely suppressed.

3.The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner viz., Ganesan would submit that it is true that the earlier objection was not mentioned in the affidavit filed in support of the present writ petition. But upon receiving the counter, steps were taken to withdraw the earlier writ petition. It can be seen that the earlier writ petition has been withdrawn and no liberty has been given to pursue the same cause of action in yet another writ petition. The learned counsel submits that she is moving appropriate application for clarification in the said writ petition.



WEB COPY



W.PMD)No.3011 of 2026

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.
Ns

4.Let the application, if any, shall be moved and the result be reported to this Court. Call these matters for further hearing on 28.04.2026 under the same caption.

Ns

07.04.2026

W.P(MD)No.3011 of 2026