



CRP(MD). Nos.401 and 429 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 16.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

**CRP(MD). Nos.401 and 429 of 2026
and CMP(MD).Nos.1852 and 1950 of 2026**

1.Selvanandhan
2.Narmadha Devi

... Petitioners in both petitions
Vs.

1.Thilagavathi
2.Amutha
3.Menaga
4.Sasikumar
5.Thangammal

... Respondents in both petitions

COMMON PRAYER :-Civil Revision Petitions filed under Section 115 of the Civil Procedure Code, to call for the records in pertaining to the order dated 23.10.2025 made in C.M.A.Nos.4 and 3 of 2024 in I.A.Nos.2 and 1 of 2023 in O.S.No.6 of 2023 on the file of the learned Subordinate Judge, Musiri and set aside the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Vadivelan
(In both petitions)

COMMON ORDER

These Civil Revision Petitions have been filed to set aside the order dated 23.10.2025 made in C.M.A.Nos.4 and 3 of 2024 in I.A.Nos.2 and 1 of 2023 in O.S.No.6 of 2023 on the file of the learned Subordinate Judge, Musiri.



CRP(MD). Nos.401 and 429 of 2026


WEB COPY

2.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have filed the suit in O.S.No.6 of 2023 for permanent injunction. Pending suit they have filed the interlocutory applications in I.A. Nos.1 and 2 of 2023, seeking ad-interim injunction in respect of first and second schedule properties respectively, till the disposal of the suit, whereby the applications for interim injunction were dismissed by the trial Court.

3.It is submitted that, aggrieved by the said order, the petitioners preferred a Civil Miscellaneous Appeals before the Sub Court, which also came to be dismissed. Challenging the concurrent findings of both the Courts below, the present revisions have been filed seeking grant of interim injunction.

4.It is well settled that the power to grant interim injunction is discretionary in nature and is primarily vested with the trial Court. Unless it is shown that such discretion has been exercised arbitrarily, perversely, or in violation of settled principles of law, this Court, in exercise of its revisional jurisdiction, would not ordinarily interfere with such findings.

5.In the present case, this Court does not find any illegality or material



CRP(MD). Nos.401 and 429 of 2026

irregularity in the orders passed by the Courts below warranting interference.

WEB COPY

6.Hence, these Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed for want of merit.

No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are Closed.

Speaking : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

16.02.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Subordinate Judge, Musiri.
- 2.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thottiyam.
- 3.The Section Officer,
E.R.Section/V.R.Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRP(MD). Nos.401 and 429 of 2026

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

TM

CRP(MD). Nos.401 and 429 of 2026

16.02.2026