



CRP(MD). No.3784 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 16.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

CRP(MD). No.3784 of 2025

J.Jesisantharani

... Petitioner

Vs

Pandiyarajan

... Respondent

PRAYER :-Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to set aside the return endorsement made by the Court of the Principal Sub Judge, Srivilliputtur, Virudhuangar District in Unnumbered I.A.Sr.No.4570 of 2025 in O.S.No.551 of 2023 on 27-10-2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jothi Basu

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed challenging the return endorsement made by the learned Principal Subordinate Judge, Srivilliputtur, Virudhuangar District in Unnumbered I.A.SR.No.4570 of 2025 in O.S.No.551 of 2023, dated 27.10.2025.



CRP(MD). No.3784 of 2025

WEB COPY

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent/plaintiff has filed a suit in O.S.No.551 of 2023 for recovery of money. During the pendency of the suit, the petitioner/defendant filed an application in I.A.SR.No.4570 of 2025 before the Sub Court, Srivilliputhur, to call for the document dated 19.12.2005 from the bank and take steps to send the document to the Forensic Lab to compare the signature found it along with the signature found in the cheque. However, the same was returned by the trial Court on 27.10.2025, on the ground that 'the document is not prior dated document of cheque, as per the direction of this Court', against which, the present Civil Revision Petition is filed.

3.He would further submit that the petitioner had already filed CRP(MD) No.2379 of 2025 before this Court to set aside the order made in I.A.No.3 of 2025 in O.S.No.551 of 2023, dated 19.07.2025 and this Court, vide order dated 29.08.2025, had dismissed the said petition with the following directions:-



CRP(MD). No.3784 of 2025

WEB COPY

“5. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh application before the trial Court, if he is in possession of any contemporary document prior to the date of the cheque, containing more than five admitted signatures in a registered document. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.”

4. He would further submit that the petitioner is having only one registered sale deed, dated 19.12.2005, where the signature of the petitioner is available.

5. Since the petitioner disputed his signature, issue notice to the respondent returnable by 22.01.2026. Private notice is also permitted.

6. Post the matter on 22.01.2026.

16.12.2025

mm

3/4



WEB COPY



CRP(MD). No.3784 of 2025

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

mm

To

The Principal Subordinate Judge, Srivilliputtur, Virudhuangar District

CRP(MD). No.3784 of 2025

16.12.2025

4/4