

W.P.(MD)No.34740 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :09.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDER

W.P.(MD)No.34740 of 2025

Sakthikumar

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Trichy District,
Trichy.


2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Trichy, Trichy District.

3. The Special Tahsildar,(Land Acquisition),
Cauvery - Vaigai-Gundar -
Intra State River Link Project,
Trichy District.

4. A.S.Ranjitham

... Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the Respondents herein to conduct the enquiry on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 12.11.2025 in the matter of disbursement of



W.P.(MD)No.34740 of 2025

compensation for acquisition of the petitioner's land comprised in S.No. 219/2A at Suriyur Village, Thiruveumbur Taluk, Trichy District after affording opportunity to the Respondent No.4.


For Petitioner : M/s.J. Lawrance

For Respondents :Mr.D.Sadiq Raja
Addl. Government Pleader for R1 to R3
No appearance for R4

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to conduct an inquiry on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 12.11.2025, in the matter of disbursement of compensation for acquisition of the petitioner's land comprised in S.No.219/2A at Suriyur Village, Thiruveumbur Taluk, Trichy District, after affording an opportunity to the fourth respondent.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the fourth respondent had illegally obtained the sale deed in respect of the property which was acquired for a River Link Project. Therefore, an enquiry is required to be conducted based on the petitioner's representation.



W.P.(MD)No.34740 of 2025


WEB COPY

3. *Per contra*, learned Additional Government Pleader submitted that during the lifetime of the petitioner's father, a registered Power of Attorney was executed on 02.03.2009 in favour of one Prema, who, in turn, executed a sale deed in favour of the fourth respondent.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

5. The grievance of the petitioner is that, without his knowledge, the property which stood in the name of his father was alienated on the basis of a Power of Attorney said to have been executed on his behalf. However, the petitioner contends that he was not aware of the said proceedings.

6. If at all the petitioner has any grievance regarding the sale, he has to approach the competent Civil Court for cancellation of the said sale deed. Once an enquiry has been conducted and the compensation amount has been disbursed to the owner of the property, there cannot be a re-enquiry for disbursement of the said compensation amount by the concerned authority.



W.P.(MD)No.34740 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this writ petition stands disposed of with the above observations. No costs.

(K.SURENDER, J)
09.01.2026

NCC :Yes/No

Internet :Yes/No

Index :Yes/No


PKN

To

1. The District Collector,
Trichy District,
Trichy.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Trichy, Trichy District.

3. The Special Tahsildar,(Land Acquisition),
Cauvery - Vaigai-Gundar -
Intra State River Link Project,
Trichy District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.34740 of 2025

K.SURENDER, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD)No.34740 of 2025

09.01.2026