

W.P.(MD) No.23075 of 2018

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDER

W.P.(MD) No.23075 of 2018

and

W.M.P.(MD) No.20976 of 2018

Pon Sangeetha

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.

2.The Tahsildar,
Vilathikulam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Taluk Surveyor,
Vilathikulam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

4.Jeyamani

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second and third respondents to conduct afresh enquiry in the petition presented by the fourth respondent in respect of the land in Sy.No.418/34, measuring an extent of 0.77 sq.mtr at Ward No.2, Periyar Street, Pudhur Village,



W.P.(MD) No.23075 of 2018


Vilathikulam Taluk, Thoothukudi District, after affording personal hearing to the petitioner and other interested parties.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Mohana Sundaram
For R1 to R3 : Mr.P.Subbaraj
Special Government Pleader
For R4 : Mr.R.Jegatheeswaran

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second and third respondents to conduct a fresh enquiry on the petition presented by the fourth respondent in respect of the land in Survey No.418/34, measuring an extent of 0.77 sq. mtrs., situated at Ward No.2, Periyar Street, Pudhur Village, Vilathikulam Taluk, Thoothukudi District, after affording a personal hearing to the petitioner and other interested parties.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that pursuant to the representation submitted by the fourth respondent, the enquiry was conducted without affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, who is an interested party and therefore, the petitioner seeks a fresh enquiry in this Writ Petition.



W.P.(MD) No.23075 of 2018

3. The learned counsel for the fourth respondent would submit that the fourth respondent has no grievance with regard to the representation made by him and that in fact, the said representation has already been disposed of.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the fourth respondent, cause of action does not arise in this Writ Petition. It is needless to state that if the petitioner is aggrieved by any proceedings of the official respondents, he can challenge the same in the manner known to law.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

[K.SURENDER, J.]
19.01.2026

JEN
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To
1.The District Collector,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.



W.P.(MD) No.23075 of 2018

2.The Tahsildar,
Vilathikulam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Taluk Surveyor,
Vilathikulam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.23075 of 2018

K.SURENDER, J.

JEN

W.P.(MD) No.23075 of 2018

19.01.2026