



WP(MD). No.16193 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 15/06/2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

WP(MD). No.16193 of 2026

E.Muppidthiammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Principal Accountant General A & E Tamilnadu,
No.361, Anna Salai,
Teynampet,
Chennai - 600 018.

2. The Tahsildar,
Revenue Taluk Office,
Thirunelveli - 627 001.

3. The Assistant Treasury Officer,
Sub Treasury,
Cheranmahadevi,
Thirunelveli - 627 414.

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent to forwarding the petitioner revised family pension proposal to the first respondent on the basis of the 6th and 7th pay commission entitlement and including the arrears from 13.09.2006 and the current payment from the next day of petitioners husband demise on 13.09.2006 for which the petitioner is entitled and after receiving such a proposal to direct the 1st respondent to sanction and pay the revised family pension to the petitioner through the third respondent within a time to be fixed by this Court.

1/5



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.16193 of 2026

For Petitioner : Ms.A. Dharani

For R2 to R3 : Mr.K.K.Udayakumar
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu(Civil side)

For R1 : Mrs.K.Mahalakshmi
Standing Counsel

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.K.K.Udaya Kumar, learned Government Counsel appearing for the respondents 2 and 3 and Mrs.Mahalakshmi, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the first respondent.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the third respondent as early as on 15.12.2025 required the second respondent to submit proposals for revision of family pension of the petitioner based on 6th and 7th Pay Commission, the second respondent appears to have not acted upon the same till date.

3. Mr.K.K.Udaya Kumar, learned Government Counsel appearing



WP(MD). No.16193 of 2026

for the respondents 2 and 3 submits that the second respondent would submit the necessary proposals within a period of four weeks.

WEB COPY

4. This Court is unable to digest the action of the second respondent in not promptly submitting the necessary proposals as required by the third respondent and the abnormal delay of six months in submitting the said proposal.


5. This Court expects the second respondent to submit necessary proposals within a period of one week from today. If the second respondent fails to submit the said proposals within a period of one week, this Court will be compelled to direct initiation of appropriate disciplinary proceedings against the second respondent.

6. List the matter on 23.06.2026 for further consideration in the admission list.

15.06.2026

CM

3/5



WP(MD). No.16193 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

1. The Principal Accountant General A and E Tamilnadu,
No.361, Anna Salai,
Teynampet,
Chennai - 600 018.

2. The Tahsildar,
Revenue Taluk Office,
Thirunelveli - 627 001..

3. The Assistant Treasury Officer,
Sub Treasury,
Cheranmahadevi,
Thirunelveli - 627 414.



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.16193 of 2026

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR,J

CM

WP(MD) No.16193 of 2026

Date : 15/06/2026