

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 20-05-2026



CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY**

**AND**

**THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R. POORNIMA**

WEB COPY

**WP(MD)No.14332 of 2026 & WMP(MD)No.10758 of 2026**

P.Rajendran

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,  
Virudhunagar District,  
Virudhunagar.
2. The Sub-Collector,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.
3. The Tahsildar,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.
4. The Block Development Officer,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.
5. The Village Panchayath Secretary,  
Anuppankulam Panchayath,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.
6. The Village Administrative Officer,  
Anuppankulam Panchayath,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.
7. The Revenue Inspector,  
Salvarpatti,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.
8. The Surveyor,  
Anuppankulam Panchayath,



Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.

9. Selvanayagam



Respondent(s)

**For Petitioner :** Mr.S.Ponsaravanan

**For R1 to R8 :** Mr.A.Baskaran, Additional Government Pleader

**Prayer:** Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records in respect of the impugned order in Na.ga.G2/L-23/2025 dated 07.05.2026 issued by the 1st respondent and consequential impugned notice in Na.Ka.Aa1/3/252/2024 dated 15.05.2026 issued by the 3rd respondent to quash the same as illegal and consequentially direct the 2nd respondent to survey their land as per sale deed No.2015/1998 and fix the boundaries property in S.No.140 in Anupankulam Village, Sivakasi Taluk, Virudhunagar District, within the stipulated time frame fixed by this Court.


**ORDER**

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble **D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.**)

Issue notice of motion returnable by 15.06.2026. Private Notice to the 9<sup>th</sup> respondent.

2. Mr.A.Baskaran, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the respondents 1 to 8.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the land in question is natham. Even in the document of the petitioner, boundary itself is mentioned as square well. Therefore, the finding of the respondents is erroneous in law and that the petitioner will be in a position to demonstrate before this Court that the land in question belongs to him and it is a natham land.



4. In view of the *prima facie* case being made out, let there be an order of interest  
then.



WEB COPY

**(D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY J.) (R.POORNIMA J.)**  
**20-05-2026**

bala

To

1. The District Collector,  
Virudhunagar District,  
Virudhunagar.

2. The Sub-Collector,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.

3. The Tahsildar,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.

4. The Block Development Officer,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.

5. The Village Panchayath Secretary,  
Anuppankulam Panchayath,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.

6. The Village Administrative Officer,  
Anuppankulam Panchayath,  
Sivakasi Taluk, Virudhunagar District.

7. The Revenue Inspector,  
Salvarpatti,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.

8. The Surveyor,  
Anuppankulam Panchayath,  
Sivakasi Taluk,  
Virudhunagar District.