



W.P.(MD)No.15235 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.04.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P.(MD)No.15235 of 2025

A.Sornam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Revenue Officer,
Madurai District.

2.The Madurai Corporation,
Rep by its Commissioner
Madurai.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai District.

4.The Tahsildar,
Madurai North Taluk,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent to mutate its records qua the land comprised in Old Survey No.549/2 part, Block No.2, Ward



W.P.(MD)No.15235 of 2025

WEB COPY

10, Thallakulam Village, Madurai North Taluk, Madurai District in the name of the petitioner's grandfather one Mr.S.Royal Antony Soosaipillai as per the Town Survey Register furnished by the office of the fourth respondent vide proceedings dated 12.09.2019 within the period that may be stipulated by this Court.

**(Prayer is amended vide Court order dated 17.03.2026 in
WP(MD).No.15518 of 2025)**

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Baskaran

For R-1, R-3
and R-4 : Mr.S.Shanmugavel,
Additional Government Pleader

For R-2 : Mr.K.Sivabalan

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to direct the second respondent to mutate its records qua the land comprised in Old Survey No.549/2 part, Block No.2, Ward 10, Thallakulam Village, Madurai North Taluk, Madurai District in the name of the petitioner's grandfather one Mr.S.Royal Antony Soosaipillai as per the Town Survey Register furnished by the office of the fourth respondent



W.P.(MD)No.15235 of 2025

WEB COPY

vide proceedings dated 12.09.2019 within the period that may be stipulated by this Court.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the property in dispute, comprised in Survey No. 549/2, originally belonged to the petitioner's grandfather. Subsequently, the legal heirs became entitled to the said property, and the revenue records were mutated manually in their favour. However, the corresponding mutation has not been reflected in the online revenue records. Hence, the petitioner seeks a direction to the second respondent to carry out the mutation in the online revenue records.

3. Heard the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1, 3 and 4 and the learned counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court directs the second respondent to consider the petitioner's representation in light of the revenue records and thereafter pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD)No.15235 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

08.04.2026

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
NCC : Yes / No
msrm

To

- 1.The District Revenue Officer,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Madurai Corporation,
Rep by its Commissioner
Madurai.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai District.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
Madurai North Taluk,
Madurai District.
5. The Additional Government Pleader,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.15235 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J

msrm

**Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.15235 of 2025**

08.04.2026