

C.R.P(MD).No.1118 of 2026

WEB COPY **V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN,J.**

In the light of the averments made in Ground (h) that the *ex-parte* defendants were not put on notice at the time of amendment of the plaint, I am inclined to admit the revision. Even if the defendant remains *ex-parte* with respect to the suit originally filed, such defendant is, nevertheless, entitled to notice of any subsequent amendment. This is because a defendant may have no objection to the original plaint, but may oppose the amended portion. Inasmuch as it is asserted in ground (h) that none of the defendant had been served with the notice of the amendment application or with the amended plaint, this Civil Revision Petition is admitted.

2.Notice.


3.Call for records by 16.06.2026.

4.In the event it is found that the defendants had, in fact, been duly served with notice of the amendment application or with the amended plaint, this revision shall stand dismissed with exemplary costs.

20.04.2026

Rmk

($\frac{1}{2}$)



WEB COPY



V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN,J.

Rmk

C.R.P(MD).No.1118 of 2026

20.04.2026
(1/2)